

HCO BULLETIN OF FEBRUARY 3, 1959

HGC CURRENT PROCEDURE

SELECTED PERSONS OVERTS STRAIGHTWIRE

If you want an undercut on Selected Persons Overts Straightwire, run people close to present time and if you want to undercut it further, downscale it's command to: "Think of something you have done to ____". The preclear does not have to talk to run this process. He can just think of something.

Additional note: ARC Break Straightwire cannot be run on a case that is motivator hungry. Overt acts must be owned up to thoroughly on the lower processes before you can get ARC Break Straightwire to run properly. Bad auditing is much easier to do with ARC Break Straightwire than the other two processes. Bad auditing is the limitation of ARC Break Straightwire. It gives the auditor much more chance to make mistakes than either Selected Persons Overts or Not-Is Straightwire.

The two biggest single auditor crimes are:

1. Rough and choppy auditing.
2. Overestimating the level of case.

When either of these two crimes are committed you get reduced profile readings. If a profile reduces, the answer is in either one or two above.

The remedy for rough auditing is muzzled auditing. This gives the auditor wins, thus improving his judgment and gives the preclear wins.

Muzzled auditing is best run on:

1. Selected Person Overts Straightwire
2. General Overts Straightwire
3. Not-Is Straightwire

ARC Break Straightwire belongs between General Overts Straightwire and Not-Is Straightwire in the scale of things, but is generally omitted because it requires smooth auditing, however, it produces the best results if case reality is up to it.

GRADUAL SCALE OF PROCESSES

The lowest is:

1. Selected Persons Overts Straightwire: "Recall a time you did something to ____".
2. General Overts Straightwire: "Recall a time you did something to somebody".
3. ARC Break Straightwire: "Recall an ARC Break" "When?"
4. Not-Is Straightwire: "Recall a time you implied something was unimportant"

alternated with

"Recall a time somebody thought something was important"

5. Factual Havingness:

"Look around here and find something you would permit to vanish."

"Look around here and find something you would continue."

" Look around here and find something you have "

The results to be achieved by the above scale compare favorably to the CCHs and are faster.

When part of the profile gain lags on the OCA or APA, the person is found to have a dropped havingness, thus Factual Havingness (third rail-run 8-2-1) can be combined with the above, using the third command, VANISH, first. In any event, the fifth process in the above order is "third rail", (run 8-2-1) of Factual Havingness.

I would like to see this run extensively by HGCs. I would like to see this gradient scale run in full after every engram is flat, and before starting a new engram.

This will keep auditors from being fooled by dub-in. Dub-in can occur in a different lifetime, even when it was not present in the lifetime just run. Dub-in is a continuous characteristic of a person in a single lifetime and may not be present in the ensuing lifetime.

L. RON HUBBARD